

Pose Guided Person Image Generation

Liqian Ma¹, Xu Jia^{2*}, Qianru Sun^{3*}, Bernt Schiele³, Tinne Tuytelaars², Luc Van Gool^{1,4} ¹KU-Leuven/PSI, TRACE (Toyota Res in Europe) ²KU-Leuven/PSI, IMEC ³Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarland Informatics Campus ⁴ETH Zurich Accepted at NIPS 2017





- Problem Statement.
- Related Work.
- Method.
- Experiments.
- Conclusions.

- Task: Synthesize person images in arbitrary poses, based on an image of that person and a novel pose.
- Motivation: Provide users more control over the generation process.
- Key idea: Guide the generation process explicitly by an appropriate representation of that intention.



Related Work

Image --> Image

Labels to Street Scene





GAN - CVPR 2017

CVPR 2017, Image-to-Image Translation with Conditional Adversarial Networks





CycleGAN -ICCV 2017

horse \rightarrow zebra ICCV 2017, Unpaired Image-to-Image Translation using Cycle-Consistent Adversarial Networks

Text + Keypoint --> Image

Key-
pointsGAN - NIPS 2016PixelCNN - ICLRw 2017A man in a orange jacket with sunglasses and a hat ski down a hill.









NIPS 2016, Learning What and Where to Draw

ICLRw, 2017GENERATING INTERPRETABLE IMAGES WITH CONTROLLABLE STRUCTURE

Related Work

Image + Viewpoint --> Image



VariGAN - Arxiv 2017 Arxiv 2017, Multi-View Image Generation from a Single-View

• Our work

More concrete appearance and structure information



Our Two-stage Framework



The overall framework of our Pose Guided Person Generation Network (PG2). It contains two stages focusing on pose and appearance, respectively.

Our Two-stage Framework



Stage-I focuses on pose integration and generates an initial result that captures the global structure of the human.

Our Two-stage Framework



Stage-II focuses on refining the initial result via adversarial training and generates sharper images.

Optimization losses







The proposed Posemask loss results in sharper results.

The proposed two-stage framework generate better results than one-stage. Qualitative results 8 1 2 З 4 7 9 6 G1+G2+D G1-poseMaskLoss Condition image Target pose Target image(GT) G1-CE-L1 G1-HME-L1 G1-L1 G1+D (our coarse result) (our refined result) Sharper arm and face More texture More texture and background details Sharper legs and more object details

Quantitative results

	1975			-				
	DeepFashion			Market-1501				
Model	SSIM	IS		SSIM	IS	mask-SSIM	mask-IS	
G1-CE-L1 G1-HME-L1 G1-L1 G1-poseMaskLoss G1+D G1+G2+D	0.694 0.735 0.735 0.779 0.761 0.762	2.395 2.427 2.427 2.668 3.091 3.090		0.219 0.294 0.304 0.340 0.283 0.253	2.568 3.171 3.006 3.326 3.490 3.460	$\begin{array}{c} 0.771 \\ 0.802 \\ 0.809 \\ 0.817 \\ 0.803 \\ 0.792 \end{array}$	2.455 2.508 2.455 2.682 3.310 3.435	

Table 1: Quantitative evaluation. For all measures, higher is better.

- The proposed pose embedding (G1-L1) consistently outperforms G1-CE-L1 across all measures and both datasets. G1-HME-L1 obtains similar quantitative numbers probably due to the similarity of the two embeddings.
- Changing the loss from L1 to the proposed poseMaskLoss (G1-poseMaskLoss) consistently improves further across all measures and for both datasets.
- Adding the discriminator during training either after the first stage (G1+D) or in our full model (G1+G2+D) leads to comparable numbers, even though we have observed clear differences in the qualitative results as discussed above. This is explained by the fact that blurry images often get good SSIM despite being less convincing and photo-realistic.

Note: mask-SSIM and mask-IS to reduce the influence of background on Market-1501 dataset.

Quantitative results

	0955						
	DeepFashion			Market-1501			
Model	SSIM	IS		SSIM	IS	mask-SSIM	mask-IS
G1-CE-L1 G1-HME-L1 G1-L1 G1-poseMaskLoss G1+D G1+G2+D	0.694 0.735 0.735 0.779 0.761 0.762	2.395 2.427 2.427 2.668 3.091 3.090		0.219 0.294 0.304 0.340 0.283 0.253	$2.568 \\ 3.171 \\ 3.006 \\ 3.326 \\ 3.490 \\ 3.460$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.771 \\ 0.802 \\ 0.809 \\ 0.817 \\ 0.803 \\ 0.792 \end{array}$	2.455 2.508 2.455 2.682 3.310 3.435

Table 1: Quantitative evaluation. For all measures, higher is better.

- The proposed pose embedding (G1-L1) consistently outperforms G1-CE-L1 across all measures and both datasets. G1-HME-L1 obtains similar quantitative numbers probably due to the similarity of the two embeddings.
- Changing the loss from L1 to the proposed poseMaskLoss (G1-poseMaskLoss) consistently improves further across all measures and for both datasets.
- Adding the discriminator during training either after the first stage (G1+D) or in our full model (G1+G2+D) leads to comparable numbers, even though we have observed clear differences in the qualitative results as discussed above. This is explained by the fact that blurry images often get good SSIM despite being less convincing and photo-realistic.

Note: mask-SSIM and mask-IS to reduce the influence of background on Market-1501 dataset.

Quantitative results

					_					
	DeepFashion			Market-1501						
Model	SSIM	IS		SSIM	IS	mask-SSIM	mask-IS			
G1-CE-L1 G1-HME-L1 G1-L1 G1-poseMaskLoss G1+D G1+G2+D	0.694 0.735 0.735 0.779 0.761 0.762	2.395 2.427 2.427 2.668 3.091 3.090		0.219 0.294 0.304 0.340 0.283 0.253	$2.568 \\ 3.171 \\ 3.006 \\ 3.326 \\ 3.490 \\ 3.460$	0.771 0.802 0.809 0.817 0.803 0.792	2.455 2.508 2.455 2.682 3.310 3.435			

Table 1: Quantitative evaluation. For all measures, higher is better.

- The proposed pose embedding (G1-L1) consistently outperforms G1-CE-L1 across all measures and both datasets. G1-HME-L1 obtains similar quantitative numbers probably due to the similarity of the two embeddings.
- Changing the loss from L1 to the proposed poseMaskLoss (G1-poseMaskLoss) consistently improves further across all measures and for both datasets.
- Adding the discriminator during training either after the first stage (G1+D) or in our full model (G1+G2+D) leads to comparable numbers, even though we have observed clear differences in the qualitative results as discussed above. This is explained by the fact that blurry images often get good SSIM despite being less convincing and photo-realistic.

Note: mask-SSIM and mask-IS to reduce the influence of background on Market-1501 dataset.

Further analysis

Comparison to VariGAN

- More realistic results, especially the faces.
- Generate whole body from half body.

Failure cases on DeepFashion

- Rare data for some specific poses.
- Rare data for male.

- Generate whole body from up body
- . Generate front view from side view

• Influence of λ

 $\mathcal{L}_{G2} = \mathcal{L}_{adv}^{G} + \lambda \| (G2(I_A, \hat{I}_{B1}) - I_B) \odot (1 + M_B) \|_1,$

- smaller λ leads to more details and sharper images (except λ = 0)
- larger λ leads to less details and blurrier images

Conclusions

Contributions

- We propose a novel task of conditioning image generation on a reference image and an intended pose.
- We propose a two stages framework focusing on global body structure and local appearance details.
- Our method can be useful for several tasks (see Further Reading).

Further Reading

- Person re-identification
- 1) A Pose-Sensitive Embedding for Person Re-Identification with Expanded Cross Neighborhood Re-Ranking
- 2) Pose-Normalized Image Generation for Person Re-identification
- 3) Disentangled Person Image Generation
- Video Prediction
- 1) Deep Video Generation, Prediction and Completion of Human Action Sequences
- Face generation
- 1) Natural and Effective Obfuscation by Head Inpainting
- 2) Every Smile is Unique: Landmark-Guided Diverse Smile Generation

Questions ?

